

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIGNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D. C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,334	11/02/1999	RONALD A. GUIDOTTI	98-2069	9910
23413 75	590 04/30/2002			
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELD			MAPLES, JOHN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745 DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

?" al				NF= X
		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	09/432,334	GUIDOTTI ET AL.	•
•		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John S. Maples	1745	<del></del>
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess
final rejection condition for	FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP ther action by the applicant is required to a under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timelv filed amendment whi	cation. A proper replication of the categories of the categories and categories of the categories of t	ation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<i>'</i> = '	period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o	•		
event ONLY 706.0		an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP
nave been filed is 37 CFR 1.17(a) is b) above, if chec	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathe date for purposes of determining the period of extensional calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mon adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
	ce of Appeal was filed on Appellant' R 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The pro	pposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) ☐ the	ey raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) 🗌 the	ey raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);	eriore.	•
	ey are not deemed to place the application sues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
	ey present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
	OTE:			
3. Applica	nt's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
	proposed or amended claim(s) would ing the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
	☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo ation in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NO	T place the
	idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be by the Examiner in the final rejection	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
	poses of Appeal, the proposed amendmen ation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The sta	itus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim	s) allowed:			in the second
Claim	s) objected to:			
	s) rejected:			•
	s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	pposed drawing correction filed on is	•	•	ner.
9.  Note th	e attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	·	9	Who was	
			John S. Maples Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 15

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final Rejection. Applicant has argued the allowability of the claims over Muffoletto, however, no evidence has been presented to support the arguments. It is noted that Affidavits filed after Final Rejection are not timely-see MPEP 716.01.